

# Math 154: Probability Theory, HW 7

DUE MARCH 19, 2024 BY 9AM

*Remember, if you are stuck, take a look at the lemmas/theorems/examples from class, and see if anything looks familiar.*

## 1. GETTING TO KNOW THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

**1.1. Approximating a complicated expectation.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be i.i.d. random variables such that  $\mathbb{P}[X_i = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

(1) Show that  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ .

(2) Define  $Y_N := N^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ . Using the central limit theorem, show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_N| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

(3) Compute  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_N|$  by evaluating the integral in part (2).

*Solution.* (1) Clearly, we have  $\mathbb{E}X_i = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$ , and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}X_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$ .

(2) By (1) and the central limit theorem, we know that  $\mathbb{E}|Y_N| \rightarrow \mathbb{E}|G|$  with  $G \sim N(0, 1)$ .

But this is the RHS of the proposed identity by definition.

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dx} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

1.2. **Approximating a complicated sum.** Fix any  $x \geq 0$ .

- (1) Explain why for any  $k \geq 0$ , we have  $2^{-N} \binom{N}{k} = \mathbb{P}[S_N = k]$ , where  $S_N \sim \text{Bin}(N, \frac{1}{2})$  is a sum of  $N$  independent  $\text{Bern}(\frac{1}{2})$ .
- (2) Show that  $2S_N - N$  is a sum of  $N$  i.i.d. random variables with mean zero and variance 1. Also show that

$$\sum_{k: N^{-1/2}|2k-N| \leq x} 2^{-N} \binom{N}{k} = \mathbb{P} \left( -x \leq \frac{2S_N - N}{N^{1/2}} \leq x \right)$$

- (3) Show that as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{k: |2k-N| \leq xN^{1/2}} 2^{-N} \binom{N}{k} \rightarrow \int_{-x}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du.$$

- (4) (Bonus, +2pt; please do not ask the CAs for help on this one): Show that

$$\sum_{\substack{k: \\ N^{-1/2}|k-N| \leq x}} \frac{N^k}{k!} e^{-N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-x}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du.$$

(Hint: its the same argument; your job is to figure out exactly why.)

- Solution.* (1) The only way for  $S_N = X_1 + \dots + X_N$  (here,  $X_i$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli) to equal  $k \geq 0$  is for  $k$  of the  $X_i$  to be 1 and the rest to be 0. In particular, we have  $\binom{N}{k}$  many possibilities. Moreover, each has probability  $2^{-N}$ .
- (2) We note that  $2S_N - N = (2X_1 - 1) + \dots + (2X_N - 1)$ , where  $X_i$  are i.i.d.  $\text{Bern}(\frac{1}{2})$ . Note that  $Y_i = 2X_i - 1$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}Y_i = 2\mathbb{E}X_i - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 = \mathbb{E}(2X_i - 1)^2 = 4\mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 4\mathbb{E}X_i + 1 = 1$  (since  $X_i^2 = X_i$ ). By part (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k: N^{-1/2}|2k-N| \leq x} 2^{-N} \binom{N}{k} &= \sum_{k: N^{-1/2}|2k-N| \leq x} \mathbb{P}(S_N = k) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left( N^{-\frac{1}{2}} |2S_N - N| \leq x \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( -x \leq \frac{2S_N - N}{N^{1/2}} \leq x \right), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the argument.

- (3) By part (2), the central limit theorem implies that

$$\mathbb{P} \left( -x \leq \frac{2S_N - N}{N^{1/2}} \leq x \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(-x \leq G \leq x) = \int_{-x}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du,$$

where  $G \sim N(0, 1)$ . Combine this with part (2).

- (4) Note that  $e^{-N} N^k / k! = \mathbb{P}(S_N = k)$ , where  $S_N \sim \text{Pois}(N)$ . Moreover, note that  $S_N$  has the same distribution as  $X_1 + \dots + X_N$ , where  $X_i$  are i.i.d.  $\text{Pois}(1)$ . Using all of

this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k: N^{-1/2}|k-N| \leq x} \frac{N^k}{k!} e^{-N} &= \sum_{k: N^{-1/2}|k-N| \leq x} \mathbb{P}(X_1 + \dots + X_N = k) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(N^{-1/2}|X_1 + \dots + X_N - N| \leq x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(-x \leq \frac{(X_1 - 1) + \dots + (X_N - 1)}{N^{1/2}} \leq x\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, note that  $\mathbb{E}X_i - 1 = 1 - 1$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i - 1) = \text{Var}(X_i) = 1$  if  $X_i \sim \text{Pois}(1)$ . (Recall that variance is invariant under shift by deterministic constant.) Thus, the central limit theorem shows that the last line converges to  $\mathbb{E}G$  with  $G \sim N(0, 1)$ , and we are done. □

**1.3. Stein's method.** We showed before that if  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then for any smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}f'(Z) = \mathbb{E}Zf(Z)$ . Conversely, suppose  $W$  satisfies the property that for all smooth functions  $f$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}f'(W) = \mathbb{E}Wf(W)$ .

- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{E}W = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}W^2 = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}W^3 = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}W^4 = 3$ .
- (2) (Bonus, +2pt; please do not ask the CAs for help on this one): Show that  $W \sim N(0, 1)$ .

Note that this gives a new way of proving the central limit theorem. There are interpretations of this method from physics (in fact, the physicists may argue this is the *right* way to prove the CLT); please see me if you would like to discuss this.

*Solution.* (1) Take  $f(w) = 1$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . We get  $0 = \mathbb{E}f'(W) = \mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W$ . Now, take  $f(w) = w$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . We get  $1 = \mathbb{E}f'(W) = \mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W^2$ . Next, take  $f(w) = w^2$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . We get  $\mathbb{E}f'(W) = 2\mathbb{E}W = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W^3$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}W^3 = 0$ . Finally, take  $f(w) = w^3$ . We get  $\mathbb{E}f'(W) = 3\mathbb{E}W^2 = 3$  and  $\mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W^4$ , so  $\mathbb{E}W^4 = 3$ .

- (2) We show that  $\mathbb{E}W^k = (k - 1)!!$  if  $k$  is even, and  $\mathbb{E}W^k = 0$  if  $k$  is odd. This shows that  $W$  has the same moments as a Gaussian, and thus it must be a Gaussian random variable. We proceed inductively in  $k$ . Suppose  $k$  is odd, and  $\mathbb{E}W^k = 0$ . For  $f(w) = w^{k+1}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}f'(W) = (k + 1)\mathbb{E}W^k = 0$ . We also have  $\mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W^{k+2}$ . This shows  $\mathbb{E}W^{k+2} = 0$ . This finishes the induction for odd  $k$ , since the next odd integer after  $k$  is  $k + 2$ . Now, suppose  $k$  is even. By the induction assumption, for  $f(w) = w^{k+1}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}f'(W) = (k + 1)\mathbb{E}W^k = (k + 1)(k - 1)!! = (k + 1)!!$ . We also have  $\mathbb{E}Wf(W) = \mathbb{E}W^{k+2}$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}W^{k+2} = (k + 1)!!$ . Since the next even integer after  $k$  is  $k + 2$ , this completes the induction.

□

**1.4. A little exercise about Fourier transforms.** Suppose  $X_N \rightarrow X$  and  $Y_N \rightarrow Y$  in distribution.

- (1) Suppose also that  $X_N, Y_N$  are independent for each  $N$ , and that  $X, Y$  are independent. Show that  $X_N + Y_N \rightarrow X + Y$ . (*Hint*: use the Levy continuity theorem)
- (2) Give a counterexample to the above when we remove the independence assumptions.

*Solution.* (1) For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi(X_N+Y_N)} = \mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X_N}e^{i\xi Y_N} = \mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X_N}\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi Y_N}$  since  $X_N, Y_N$  are independent. By the assumed weak convergence, we have  $\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X_N}\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi Y_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X}\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi Y} = \mathbb{E}e^{i\xi(X+Y)}$  since  $X, Y$  are independent.

- (2) Let  $X_N, Y_N$  be independent  $N(0, 1)$ . Then  $X_N \rightarrow X$  and  $Y_N \rightarrow -X$  in distribution, where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ . Indeed, note that  $-X$  has the same distribution as  $X$  if  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  (the pdf of  $N(0, 1)$  is an even function). But  $X_N + Y_N \sim N(0, 2)$  for all  $N$ , whereas  $X + Y = X - X = 0$  is not  $N(0, 2)$ . So,  $X_N + Y_N$  cannot converge in distribution to  $X + Y$ .

□

1.5. **The moment method.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be i.i.d. random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 < \infty$  for all  $i$ . Define  $S_N = N^{-1/2}(X_1 + \dots + X_N)$ .

(1) By expanding, show that

$$\mathbb{E}S_N^3 = N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} 3\mathbb{E}X_i^2 \mathbb{E}X_j + N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, i \neq k} \mathbb{E}X_i X_j X_k.$$

(2) Show that  $\mathbb{E}S_N^3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

(3) (Bonus, +1pt; please do not ask the CAs for help on this one): Assume now that  $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^4 < \infty$  for all  $i$ . Show that  $\mathbb{E}S_N^4 \rightarrow 3$  by the same type of expansion argument.

*Solution.* (1) We have

$$S_N^3 = N^{-3/2}(X_1 + \dots + X_N)^3 = N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N X_i X_j X_k.$$

Take the case where  $i = j = k$ ; this gives  $N^{-3/2} \sum_i X_i^3$ . Take the case where exactly two of  $i, j, k$  are the same; in this case, we have a term of the form  $X_i^2 X_j$  summed over all  $i \neq j$ , but we also pick up a factor 3 because there are three ways to match exactly two of  $i, j, k$ . Finally, take the case where  $i, j, k$  are all distinct; this gives  $\sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, i \neq k} X_i X_j X_k$ . Thus, we have

$$S_N^3 = N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^3 + N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} 3X_i^2 X_j + N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, i \neq k} X_i X_j X_k.$$

Now, take expectation (and use linearity of expectation).

(2) Note that  $\mathbb{E}X_j = 0$  for all  $j$ . Thus, by part (1) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}S_N^3| \leq N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N |\mathbb{E}X_i^3| \leq N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 \leq CN^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $C = \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 < \infty$  (note  $X_i$  are i.i.d.).

(3) By expanding as in part (1) and dropping all terms with a factor of  $\mathbb{E}X_j = 0$  for some  $j$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}S_N^4 = N^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}X_i^4 + 3N^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \mathbb{E}X_j^2.$$

(Indeed, the number of ways to match each index in  $\{i, j, k, \ell\}$  with exactly one other index is 3; it is one of  $\{i, j\}$  or  $\{i, k\}$  or  $\{i, \ell\}$ .) By assumption, we know  $\mathbb{E}X_i^4 \leq C$  for some constant  $C < \infty$ . Thus, the first term on the RHS is  $\leq CN^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$ , so

$$3N^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \mathbb{E}X_j^2 = 3N^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j} 1 = 3N^{-2} N(N-1) = 3 - 3N^{-1} \rightarrow 3,$$

so we are done. □