## Math 154: Probability Theory, HW 4

DUE FEB 20, 2024 BY 9AM

*Remember, if you are stuck, take a look at the lemmas/theorems/examples from class, and see if anything looks familiar.* 

## 1. TIME TO GET TO COMPUTATIONS

1.1. Laplace transform of an exponential random variable. Let  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  (for  $\lambda > 0$ ).

- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda \xi}$  for all  $0 \leq \xi < \lambda$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} < \infty$  if and only if  $\xi < \lambda$  (you don't need to prove this last claim).
- (2) Compute  $\mathbb{E}X^k$  for k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.
- (3) Show that for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda i\xi}$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 1.2. Laplace transform of a Poisson random variable. Let  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$ .
- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} = e^{\lambda(e^{\xi}-1)}$ .
- (2) Compute  $\mathbb{E}X^k$  for k = 1, 2, 3.
- (3) Use part (1) to show that if  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Pois}(\mu)$  are independent, then  $X + Y \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda + \mu)$ .

1.3. Cauchy distribution. We say that  $X \sim \text{Cauchy if it is a continuous random variable on } \mathbb{R}$  with pdf  $p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$ .

- (1) Show that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) dx = 1$  using calculus, so that p(x) is actually a pdf. (You can look up the antiderivative of  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$  and its properties; this is more just a check for you to do.)
- (2) Show that  $\mathbb{E}|X| = \infty$ .
- (3) Show that for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\xi|} e^{-ix\xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

Conclude that if  $X \sim \text{Cauchy}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X} = e^{-|\xi|}$ . Can you briefly explain briefly why this formula alone suggests that  $\mathbb{E}X$  is not well-defined?

1.4. A concentration inequality. Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  are i.i.d. random variables (i.e. they are independent and have the same distribution), and suppose  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_i} < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $Y = \frac{X_1 + \ldots + X_N}{N}$ .

(1) Compute  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda Y}$  in terms of the moment generating functions of  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ .

(2) Show that for any constants  $\lambda, c > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y| \ge c] \leqslant e^{-c\lambda} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda Y} + e^{-c\lambda} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda Y} = e^{-c\lambda} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}} + \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{-\lambda X_i}{N}} \right)$$

(*Hint*: the LHS is  $\leq \mathbb{P}[Y \geq c] + \mathbb{P}[-Y \geq c]$ .)

- (3) Using the inequality  $e^x \leq 1 + x + x^2 e^x$ , show that  $\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}} \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{N^2} \mathbb{E}[X_i^2 e^{\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}}]$ . (4) We will now choose  $\lambda = N^{1/2}$ . Using the inequality  $x^2 e^{\kappa x} \leq e^{2x} + e^{-2x}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any  $|\kappa| \leq 1$ , show that  $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 e^{\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{2X_i} + \mathbb{E}e^{-2X_i}$ , and thus  $\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{N}$ for some constant C.
- (5) You can take for granted that the same argument shows  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\frac{\lambda X_i}{N}} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{N}$ . Using the inequality  $(1 + \frac{C}{N})^N \leq e^C$ , show that  $\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geq c] \leq 2e^{-c\sqrt{N}}e^C$ .

1.5. An application of the law of large numbers. Suppose I give you a coin and tell you that the probability of heads is 0.48. Suppose you want to test if I am right. How many times N do you have to flip this coin to be at least 95% confident that it is biased towards heads? To be precise:

(1) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_N \sim \text{Bern}(p)$  with p = 0.48 be independent. Set  $Y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ . Recall  $\mathbb{E}Y = p$ . Using the bound

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y - p| \ge 0.02] \leqslant \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_1)}{N(0.02)^2}$$

from class, how large do you have to take N for this probability to be  $\leq 5\%$ ?

(2) What if we instead use the following bound (which is what you get when optimizing in Problem 1.4):

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y-p| \ge 0.02] \le 2e^{-0.02\sqrt{N}} \mathbb{E}e^{X_1}.$$

Which bound produces the smaller N?