

# Math 154: Probability Theory, HW 3

DUE FEB 3, 2024 BY 9AM

Remember, if you are stuck, take a look at the lemmas/theorems/examples from class, and see if anything looks familiar.

1. ALL OF THESE PROBLEMS REQUIRE AT LEAST A LITTLE THOUGHT

1.1. **Some magic in the Gaussian.** Suppose  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ .

(1) Show that

$$xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = -\frac{d}{dx}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

(2) Take any smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{E}Xf(X) = \mathbb{E}f'(X),$$

provided that both sides converge absolutely (when written as integrals). This is often known as *Gaussian integration by parts*. (*Hint*: the hint is in the name.)

(3) Show that for any integer  $k \geq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}X^{2k+1} = 0$ .

(4) Show that for any integer  $k \geq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}X^{2k} = (2k - 1)!!$ , where  $(2k - 1)!! := (2k - 1)(2k - 3) \dots 1$ . (*Hint*: use part (2) with  $f(X) = X^{2k-1}$ , and induct on  $k$ .)

**Solution:**

(1) By the chain rule and power rule of calculus, we have

$$-\frac{d}{dx}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = -\left(\frac{d}{dx}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\right) = -\left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\frac{d}{dx}-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) = -\left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \cdot (-x)\right) = xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

(2) With the assumptions given in the problem statement, using our result from the first part, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f'(X) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 f'(x) \left(\int_{-\infty}^x -ye^{-y^2/2} dy\right) dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} f'(x) \left(\int_x^{\infty} ye^{-y^2/2} dy\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_y^0 f'(x) dx\right) \cdot (-ye^{-y^2/2}) dy + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^y f'(x) dx\right) ye^{-y^2/2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(y) - f(0)] \cdot ye^{-y^2/2} dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}Xf(X),\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality is given by Fubini's theorem with iterative integration.

(3) We proceed by induction. For  $k = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$  is given. Suppose truth for  $k - 1$ ; let's prove the statement for  $k$ : Let  $f(X) = X^{2k}$ . By part b, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2k+1} = 2k\mathbb{E}X^{2k-1}$$

By our inductive step,  $\mathbb{E}X^{2(k-1)+1} = \mathbb{E}X^{2k-1} = 0$ , implying that

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2k+1} = 0,$$

and we are done.

(4) We proceed by induction. For  $k = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}1 = 1$  is given. Suppose truth for  $k - 1$ ; let's prove the statement for  $k$ : Let  $f(X) = X^{2k-1}$ . By part b, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2k} = (2k - 1)\mathbb{E}X^{2k-2}$$

By our inductive step,  $\mathbb{E}X^{2(k-1)} = (2k - 3)!!$ , implying that

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2k} = (2k - 1)!!,$$

and we are done.

**1.2. Another fact about the Gaussian distribution.** Let  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  for some  $\sigma > 0$ . Take any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

(Hint: you may want to use the completing-the-square formula  $a^2 - 2ba = (a - b)^2 - b^2$  after you write out what the expectation on the LHS is as an integral on  $\mathbb{R}$ .) Give another proof of  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}X^2 = \sigma^2$  by differentiating both sides of this identity (once and twice) and setting  $\lambda = 0$ .

**Solution:**

By LOTUS, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the expression with respect to  $\lambda$  once and plugging in  $\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}X e^{\lambda X} = \lambda \sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \stackrel{\lambda=0}{\Rightarrow} \mathbb{E}X = 0$$

Differentiating a second time before plugging in  $\lambda = 0$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}X^2 e^{\lambda X} = \sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} + \lambda^2 \sigma^4 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \stackrel{\lambda=0}{\Rightarrow} \mathbb{E}X^2 = \sigma^2$$

**1.3. How does one sample from a distribution?** Suppose  $X$  is a continuous random variable, so that  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x p(u) du$ . Suppose  $p$  is smooth and  $p(u) > 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (1) Show that the distribution of the random variable

$$F(X) = \int_{-\infty}^X p(u) du$$

is the uniform distribution on  $[0, 1]$ . (Here, we evaluate the top limit of the integral at the random variable  $X$ . *Hint*: it is not important to know what its inverse exactly is.)

**Solution:**

Let  $Y = F(X)$ . Then, to calculate the CDF of  $Y$ , we have that  $F(Y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(F(X) \leq y)$ . Knowing that  $X$  is smooth and increasing thus gives us that this is equal to  $P(X \leq F^{-1}(y)) = F(F^{-1}(y)) = y$ , which is the CDF of a uniform distribution on  $[0, 1]$ .

- (2) Show that the random variable  $-\log F(X)$  has p.d.f given by  $e^{-x}$ .

**Solution:**

Let  $Y = -\log F(X)$ . Then, to calculate the CDF of  $Y$ , we have that  $F(Y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(-\log F(X) \leq y)$ . Knowing that  $X$  is smooth and increasing thus gives us that this is equal to  $P(X \geq F^{-1}(e^{-y})) = 1 - F(F^{-1}(e^{-y})) = 1 - e^{-y}$ . To get the PDF of  $Y$ , we take the derivative of  $F(Y)$  with respect to  $y$ , giving us that  $p(y) = (1 - e^{-y}) \frac{d}{dy} = e^{-y}$ .

**1.4. What?** Suppose  $X$  is an exponential random variable (i.e. it has the exponential distribution). Show that  $\mathbb{P}(X > s + x | X > s) = \mathbb{P}(X > x)$  for any  $x, s \geq 0$ .

**Solution:**

Using definition of conditional probability, we have that

$$P(X > s + x | X > s) = \frac{P(X > s + x \cap X > s)}{P(X > s)} = \frac{P(X > s + x)}{P(X > s)}.$$

Then, we have that this is equal to:

$$\frac{1 - P(X \leq s + x)}{1 - P(X \leq s)} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+x)}}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{-\lambda x}.$$

Now,  $P(X > x) = 1 - P(X \leq x) = 1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) = e^{-\lambda x}$ , thus proving the claim.

**1.5. To the right or to the left?** Let  $X$  have variance  $\sigma^2$ , and write  $m_k = \mathbb{E}X^k$ . Define the *skewness* of (the distribution of)  $X$  to be  $\text{skw}(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - m_1)^3}{\sigma^3}$ . (This measures how much to the left/right the graph of the pdf is.)

- (1) Show that  $\text{skw}(X) = \frac{m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 2m_1^3}{\sigma^3}$

- (2) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. copies of  $X$  (i.e. they are independent and have the same distribution). Set  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Using the following, show  $\text{skw}(S_n) = \frac{\text{skw}(X_1)}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

- Compute  $\text{Var}(S_n)$  in terms of  $\text{Var}(X_1)$  using the i.i.d. property of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- Show that  $\mathbb{E}S_n = n\mathbb{E}X_1$ .
- Letting  $m = \mathbb{E}X_1$ , show that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)]$ .
- Using independence, i.e. that  $\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(W_i)] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[f_i(W_i)]$  for any functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and any independent random variables  $W_1, \dots, W_n$ , show that  $\mathbb{E}[(X_i -$

$m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)] = 0$  unless  $i, j, k$  are all the same. (Note that for any random variable  $Y$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y)) = 0$ .)

- Deduce that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3 = n\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3$ .
  - Now compute  $\text{skw}(S_n) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3}{\text{Var}(S_n)^{3/2}}$  in terms of  $\text{skw}(X_1)$ .
- (3) Suppose  $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ . Show that  $\text{skw}(X) = \frac{1-2p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}$  by direct computation.
- (4) Suppose  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ . Show that  $\text{skw}(X) = \frac{1-2p}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$ , so that it vanishes as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . (In particular, this shows that averaging a bunch of random variables can reduce skewness.)

### Solution:

- (1) By the Binomial Theorem and linearity of expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X - m_1)^3 &= \mathbb{E}(X^3 - 3X^2m_1 + 3Xm_1^2 - m_1^3) \\ &= \mathbb{E}X^3 - 3m_1\mathbb{E}X^2 + 3m_1^2\mathbb{E}X - m_1^3 \\ &= m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 3m_1^2m_1 - m_1^3 \\ &= m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 2m_1^3, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\text{skw}(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - m_1)^3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 2m_1^3}{\sigma^3}.$$

- (2) • Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent, then

$$\text{Var}(S_n) = \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \text{Var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{Var}(X_n).$$

But  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are also identically distributed, so the RHS simplifies to  $n\text{Var}(X_1)$ . Thus  $\text{Var}(S_n) = n\text{Var}(X_1)$ .

- By linearity,

$$\mathbb{E}S_n = \mathbb{E}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \mathbb{E}X_1 + \dots + \mathbb{E}X_n.$$

Again,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are identically distributed, so the RHS simplifies to  $n\mathbb{E}X_1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{E}S_n = n\mathbb{E}X_1$ .

- Using  $\mathbb{E}S_n = n\mathbb{E}X_1$  from the previous part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3 &= \mathbb{E}(X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mathbb{E}X_1)^3 \\ &= \mathbb{E}((X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1) + \dots + (X_n - \mathbb{E}X_1))^3 \\ &= \mathbb{E}((X_1 - m) + \dots + (X_n - m))^3 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n (X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)], \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from linearity of expectation.

- Suppose that  $i, j, k$  are not all the same. Then WLOG suppose  $i \neq k$  and  $j \neq k$ . Then,  $X_k$  is independent of  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)]\mathbb{E}[X_k - m] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)]\mathbb{E}[X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k] \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

because for any random variable  $Y$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y)) = 0$ .

- By the previous part,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ i=j=k}}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_j - m)(X_k - m)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)(X_i - m)(X_i - m)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)^3].\end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are identically distributed, then the sum simplifies down as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - m)^3] = n\mathbb{E}(X_1 - m)^3 = n\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3.$$

- From the earlier parts, we found

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3 = n\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3, \quad \text{Var}(S_n) = n\text{Var}(X_1).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{skw}(S_n) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n - \mathbb{E}S_n)^3}{\text{Var}(S_n)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{n\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3}{(n\text{Var}(X_1))^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3}{\sqrt{n}\text{Var}(X_1)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3}{\sqrt{n}(\sigma^2)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma^3} \\ &= \frac{\text{skw}(X_1)}{\sqrt{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

(3) By LOTUS, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}X &= 0 \cdot (1 - p) + 1 \cdot p = p \\ \mathbb{E}X^2 &= 0^2 \cdot (1 - p) + 1^2 \cdot p = p \\ \mathbb{E}X^3 &= 0^3 \cdot (1 - p) + 1^3 \cdot p = p.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = p$  and

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}X^2 - (\mathbb{E}X)^2} = \sqrt{p - p^2} = \sqrt{p(1 - p)}.$$

By the first part of this problem,

$$\text{skw}(X) = \frac{m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 2m_1^3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{p - 3p^2 + 2p^3}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)}^3} = \frac{p(1 - p)(1 - 2p)}{p(1 - p)\sqrt{p(1 - p)}} = \frac{1 - 2p}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)}},$$

as desired.

(4) Represent

$$X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $\text{Bern}(p)$ . It follows by the last part of (2) that

$$\text{skw}(X) = \frac{\text{skw}(X_1)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

But we found the skewness of a  $\text{Bern}(p)$  random variable in the previous part, so

$$\frac{\text{skw}(X_1)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\frac{1 - 2p}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)}}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1 - 2p}{\sqrt{np(1 - p)}},$$

as desired.

**1.6. Some more computations.** Keep the notation in the setting of Problem 1.5. Define the *kurtosis* of  $X$  by  $\text{kur}(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - m_1)^4}{\sigma^4}$ . (This is kind of like a variance, but it tells you a little more about the shape of the graph of the pdf.)

- (1) Show that if  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $\text{kur}(X) = 3$ . Notice how this is much simpler! (It does not depend on the parameters of the distribution.)
- (2) Let  $X_1, X_2$  be i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$ . Define  $S = X_1 + X_2$ . *Without using the fact that  $X_1 + X_2 \sim N(0, 2)$* , show that  $\text{kur}(S) = 3$ . (In particular, use  $\text{kur}(S) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S - \mathbb{E}S)^4}{\text{Var}(S)^2}$ .)

**Solution:**

As in problem 1.5, denote  $m_k = \mathbb{E}X^k$ .

- (1) Note that  $X - m_1 = X - \mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , so we can write  $X - m_1 = \sigma Z$  where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . It follows that

$$\text{kur}(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - m_1)^4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\sigma Z)^4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{\sigma^4 \mathbb{E}Z^4}{\sigma^4} = \mathbb{E}Z^4.$$

From the first problem, we computed the moments of the standard Normal distribution. In particular, have  $\mathbb{E}Z^4 = 3!! = 3$ , so

$$\text{kur}(X) = 3.$$

(2) To find  $\text{kur}(S)$ , we use the formula

$$\text{kur}(S) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S - \mathbb{E}S)^4}{\text{Var}(S)^2}.$$

For the numerator, note that  $\mathbb{E}S = 0$ , so by the Binomial theorem, linearity of expectation, and the independence of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(S - \mathbb{E}S)^4 &= \mathbb{E}S^4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_1 + X_2)^4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_1^4 + 4X_1^3X_2 + 6X_1^2X_2^2 + 4X_1X_2^3 + X_2^4) \\ &= \mathbb{E}X_1^4 + 4\mathbb{E}(X_1^3X_2) + 6\mathbb{E}(X_1^2X_2^2) + 4\mathbb{E}(X_1X_2^3) + \mathbb{E}X_2^4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}X_1^4 + 4\mathbb{E}X_1^3\mathbb{E}X_2 + 6\mathbb{E}X_1^2\mathbb{E}X_2^2 + 4\mathbb{E}X_1\mathbb{E}X_2^3 + \mathbb{E}X_2^4.\end{aligned}$$

$X_1$  and  $X_2$  are identically distributed, so the expression becomes

$$\mathbb{E}X_1^4 + 4\mathbb{E}X_1^3\mathbb{E}X_1 + 6\mathbb{E}X_1^2\mathbb{E}X_1^2 + 4\mathbb{E}X_1\mathbb{E}X_1^3 + \mathbb{E}X_1^4 = 2\mathbb{E}X_1^4 + 8\mathbb{E}X_1^3\mathbb{E}X_1 + 6\mathbb{E}X_1^2\mathbb{E}X_1^2.$$

Since  $X_1 \sim N(0, 1)$ , then from the first problem,

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1, \quad \mathbb{E}X_1^3 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}X_1^4 = 3.$$

Thus the expression evaluates to

$$2 \cdot 3 + 8 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 = 12.$$

The denominator is, by independence of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ,

$$\text{Var}(S)^2 = (\text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(X_2))^2 = (1 + 1)^2 = 4.$$

Therefore

$$\text{kur}(S) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S - \mathbb{E}S)^4}{\text{Var}(S)^2} = \frac{12}{4} = 3,$$

as desired.